

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 25 JUL 2005

WIPO

PCT

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
SVETLANA SHTADLER
REINHOLD COHN AND PARTNERS
P.O. BOX 4060
TEL AVIV, ISRAEL 61040

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 157938-2 CC		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 21 JUL 2005 FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/IL05/00055	International filing date (day/month/year) 16 January 2005 (16.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 January 2004 (15.01.2004)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61K 51/00, 49/00; C07F 5/00 and US Cl.: 424/1.89, 9.1, 9.3, 1.65; 534/14		
Applicant NST NEUROSURVIVAL TECHNOLOGIES LTD.		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer Michael G. Hartley Telephone No. (703) 308-1235
--	--

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL05/00055

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/IL05/00055

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 *bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-27</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-27</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-27</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-27 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over NST TECHNOLOGIES LTD. ("NST") WO 02/46147 A2. NST discloses methods of selective targeting a compound to a cell undergoing perturbation of the normal organization of its plasma membrane comprising contacting the cells with a labeled compound or conjugate thereby selectively targeting said cell population, see abstract and page 4. The compounds include those which may have a contain a malonic acid derivative moiety, as R1 can be U-D-U, wherein D is a C1-C6 alkylene and U is C(O)O, see pages 4-5 and 14. The compounds may be conjugated to N2S2 chelators, as claimed, to be labeled for methods of imaging. The labels include Tc-99, Gd, etc. for use in various imaging methods, radioimaging, MRI, etc., see page 18+. The compounds may be used for targeting tumors, etc, see page 18+. While NST does not specifically exemplify the same compounds as claimed as used in the claimed methods, it would have been obvious to prepare and use such compounds because NST teaches a broad formula that can be substituted to yield compounds having the same chemical moieties, use and properties as claimed.

Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(3), because the prior art does not specifically disclose or exemplify the same compounds, having the same formula, as claimed, as used in the claimed methods.

Claims 1-27 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.